oflT from that other part, the doctrine of Incorpo-reals, which it was naturally and vitally united to, endeavoured to serve their turns of it. And Dow joining these two things together, the Ato-mical physiology, which supposes, that there is nothing in body but magnitude, figure, site, and motion, and that prejudice or prepossession of their own minds, that there was no other substance in the world besides body; between them both they begat a certain mongrel and spurious philosophy, atheistical!y Atomical, or atomically Atheistical.

But though we have so well proved, that Leu-cippus and Democritus were not the first inventors, but only the depravers and adulterators of the Atomicai philosophy; yet, if any will, notwithstanding, obstinately contend, that the first invention thereof ought to be imputed to them, the very principles of their Atheism seeming to lead them naturally to this, to strip and divest body of all those forms and qualities, it being otherwise impossible for them, surely and safely, to exclude a corporeal Deity; yet so, as that the wit of these Atheists was also much to be admired, in the managing and carrying on of those principles in such a manner, as to make up so entire a system of philosophy out of them, all whose parts should be so coherent and consistent together; we shall only say thus much: that if those Atheists were the first inventors of this philosophy, they were certainly very unhappy and unsuccessful in it, whilst ^endeavouring by it to secure themselves from the possibility and danger of a corporeal God, they Unawares laid a foundation for the clear demonstration of an